

CHAPTER 32

PROFESSIONAL AND ETHICAL DUTY

QUESTIONS FROM ICAI STUDY MATERIAL

Q1: Infostar Ltd. is a listed company engaged in the provision of IT services in India. The directors are paid a bonus based on the profits achieved by the company during the year as per the bonus table given below:

Range of Profit after tax	Bonus to Directors
Less than ₹ 1 crore	NIL
₹ 1 crore to < ₹ 5 crores	2% of Net Profit after tax
₹ 5 crores to < ₹ 10 crores	4% of Net Profit after tax

The draft Statement of Profit and Loss for the year ended 31 March 20X2 currently shows a profit of ₹ 2 crores.

Issue:

On 25 March 20X2, Infostar Ltd. sold land located adjacent to its head office to a third party Zest Ltd. for a consideration of ₹ 40 crores, with an option to purchase the land back on 25 May 20X2 for ₹ 40 crores plus a premium of 6%. The amount received from the transaction eliminated the bank overdraft of Infostar Ltd. as on 31 March 20X2. On instructions of the Chief Financial Officer of the company, who is a chartered accountant, the transaction was treated as a sale, including the profit arising on disposal in the Statement of Profit and Loss for the year ending 31 March 20X2.

Required:

Discuss the ethical and accounting implications of the above issues with respect to a chartered accountant in service, referring to the relevant Ind AS wherever appropriate.

Ans: **Accounting Treatment**

The sale of land meets the conditions specified in Ind AS 115, Revenue from Contracts with Customers for qualifying as a repurchase agreement as Infostar Ltd. has an option to buy back the land from Zest Ltd. and therefore, control is not transferred as Zest Ltd.'s ability to use and gain benefit from the land is limited. Infostar Ltd. must treat the transaction as a financing arrangement and record both the asset (land) and the financial liability (the amount received which is repayable to Zest Ltd.).

Infostar Ltd. should not have derecognized the land from the financial statements because the risks and rewards of ownership are not transferred. Thus, the substance of the transaction is a loan of ₹ 40 crores, with the 6% 'premium' on repurchase effectively reflecting interest payment.

Recording the aforesaid transaction as a sale is an attempt to manipulate the financial statements in order to show an improved profit figure and a more favourable cash position. The sale must be reversed and the land should be reinstated at its carrying amount prior to the transaction.

Ethical Issues

Chartered Accountants are required to comply with the fundamental principles laid down in the Code of Ethics. This includes acting with **integrity**. It appears that the integrity of CFO is compromised in this situation as he had accounted the transaction as sale and not as a loan or financial arrangement. The effect of accounting it as sale just before the year end is merely to improve profits and eliminate the bank overdraft, thereby making the cash position seem better than it is. This effectively amounts to 'window dressing', which is not honest as it does not present the actual performance and position of Infostar Ltd.

Accountants must also act with **objectivity**, which means they must not allow bias, conflict or undue influence of others to override professional or business judgments. Therefore, the management must put the interests of the company and the shareholders before their own interests. The pressure to show profits and achieve a bonus is in the self-interest of the directors and seems to have been partly driven the transaction and the subsequent accounting, which is clearly a conflict of interest.

It is further necessary for the accountants to comply with the **principles of professional behaviour**, which require compliance with relevant laws and regulations. In the instant case, the accounting treatment is not in conformity with Ind AS. The given facts do not make it clear whether CFO is aware of this or not. If he is aware but still applied the incorrect treatment, he has not complied with the principle of professional behaviour. It may be that he was under undue pressure from the directors to record the transaction in this manner. If, however, he is not aware that the treatment is incorrect, then he has not complied with the **principle of professional competence** as his knowledge and skills are not updated.

In such a case, he is subject to **professional misconduct** under **Clause 1 of Part II of Second Schedule of the Chartered Accountants Act, 1949**. Clause 1 states that a member of the Institute, whether in practice or not, shall be deemed to be guilty of professional misconduct, if he contravenes any of the provisions of this Act or the regulations made thereunder or any guidelines issued by the Council. As per the Guidelines issued by the Council, a member of the Institute who is an employee shall exercise due diligence and shall not be grossly negligent in the conduct of his duties.

Q2: Rustom Ltd., a company engaged in oil extraction, has a present obligation to dismantle the oil rig installed by it at the end of the useful life of 10 years. Rustom Ltd. cannot cancel this obligation or transfer it. Rustom Ltd. intends to carry out the dismantling work itself and estimates the cost of the work to be ₹ 100 crores at the end of 10 years.

The directors of Rustom Ltd. are aware of the requirements of Ind AS 37 'Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets', read with Ind AS 16 'Property, Plant and Equipment'. However, they propose to expense the costs of dismantling the oil rig as and when incurred, with no entries or disclosures in the latest financial statements. They argue that application of Ind AS involves judgment, and although prudence is mentioned in the Conceptual Framework, it is only one among the many ways of achieving faithful representation.

Required:

Discuss whether the directors are acting unethically in the above instance what should be the practising Chartered Accountant's course of action in this regard.

Ans: The treatment proposed by the director is in contravention of Ind AS 37. As per Ind AS 16 and Ind AS 37, an entity, at the time of initial recognition of the asset, capitalises the present value of the cost of dismantling to be occurred at the end of the life of the asset, to the cost of the asset by simultaneously creating a provision for the same. In the given case, it appears to be a deliberate intention to contravene Ind AS 16 and Ind AS 37, and not an unintentional mistake.

Though the directors can exercise strong or undue influence over the chartered accountant, the chartered accountant is bound to act with integrity and remain unbiased, recommending to the directors that Ind AS 16 and Ind AS 37 must be complied with, and ensure appropriate entries are passed in the financial statements. The matter may be raised before the non-executive directors, explaining the issue to them and ensure the financial statements are true and fair and comply with the relevant Ind AS.

It is essential for the chartered accountant to inform those in governance (directors) about the necessary corrective measures in this case. By doing so, he uphold the fundamental principle of professional behaviour and demonstrate compliance with relevant laws and regulations. By communicating the corrective measures to those responsible for governance, the chartered accountant can ensure that the contravention of Ind AS 16 and Ind AS 37 is addressed and rectified.

However, if he does not communicate the corrective measures to the directors, the fundamental principle of professional behaviour will be breached. Members should comply with relevant laws and regulations and avoid any action that discredits the profession. By knowingly allowing the directors not to apply the requirements of an Ind AS, the Chartered Accountant would not be acting diligently in accordance with applicable guidance and would not be demonstrating professional competence and due care. In such a situation, he will be subject to professional misconduct under Clauses 5, 6 and 7 of Part I of Second Schedule of the Chartered Accountants Act, 1949.

Clause 5 states that a chartered accountant is guilty of professional misconduct when he fails to disclose a material fact known to him which is not disclosed in a financial statement, but disclosure of which is necessary in making such financial statement where he is concerned with that financial statement in a professional capacity.

Clause 6 states that a CA is guilty of professional misconduct when he fails to report a material misstatement known to him to appear in a financial statement with which he is concerned in a professional capacity.

Clause 7 states that a Chartered Accountant is guilty of professional misconduct when he does not exercise due diligence or is grossly negligent in the conduct of his professional duties.

Q3: Alaap Ltd.'s directors feel that the company needs a significant injection of capital in order to modernize plant and equipment as the company has been promised firm orders if it can produce goods of international standards. The current lending policies of the banks require prospective borrowers to demonstrate strong projected cash flows, coupled with a Debt Service Coverage Ratio exceeding 10. However, the current projected statement of cash flows does not satisfy the bank's criteria for lending. The directors have told the bank that the company is in an excellent financial position, the financial results and cash flow projections will meet the criteria and the chartered accountant will submit a report to this effect shortly. The chartered accountant has recently joined Alaap Ltd. and has openly stated that he cannot afford to lose his job because of financial commitments.

Required:

Discuss the potential ethical conflicts which may arise in the above scenario and the ethical principles which would guide how the chartered accountant should respond to the situation.

Ans: The given scenario presents a twofold conflict of interest:

(a) Pressure to obtain finance and chartered accountant's personal circumstances

The chartered accountant is under pressure to provide the bank with a projected cash flow statement that will meet the bank's criteria when in fact the actual projections do not meet the criteria. The chartered accountant's financial circumstances mean that he cannot lose his job, thus the ethical and professional standards required of the accountant are at odds with the pressures of his personal circumstances.

(b) Duty to shareholders, employees and bank

The directors have a duty to act in the best interests of the company's shareholders and employees, and a duty to present fairly any information the bank may rely on. The injection of capital to modernise plant and equipment appears to be for capacity expansion which will lead to greater profits, thus being in the interests of the shareholders and the employees. However, if such finance is obtained based on misleading information, it could actually be detrimental to the going concern status of the company.

It could be argued that there is a conflict between the short-term and medium-term interests of the company (the need to modernise the company) and its long-term interests (the detriment to the company's reputation if its directors do not conform to ethics).

Ethical principles guiding the chartered accountant's response

The chartered accountant's financial circumstances coupled with the pressure from the directors could end up in him knowingly disclosing incorrect information to the bank, thereby

compromising the fundamental principles of **objectivity, integrity and professional competence**.

By exhibiting bias due to the risk of losing his job through reporting favourable cash flows to the bank, objectivity is compromised. Further, integrity is also compromised as by not acting in a straightforward and honest manner, incorrect information is knowingly disclosed. Forecasts, unlike financial statements, do not specify that they have been prepared in accordance with Ind AS. However, the principle of professional competence requires the accountant to prepare the cash flow projections to the best of his professional judgment which would not be the case if the projections showed a more positive position than what is actually anticipated.

Appropriate action

The chartered accountant faces an immediate ethical dilemma and must apply his moral and ethical judgment. As a professional, he is responsible for presenting the truth, and not to indulge in 'creative accounting' owing to pressure.

Thus, the chartered accountant should put the interests of the company and professional ethics first and insist that the report to the bank be an honest reflection of the company's current financial position. Being an advisor to the directors, he must prevent deliberate misrepresentation to the bank, no matter what the consequences to him are personally. The accountant should not allow any undue influence from the directors to override his professional judgment or integrity. This is in the long-term interests of the company and its survival.

It is suggested that the chartered accountant should communicate to the directors to submit the projected statement of cash flows to the bank, which reflects the current position of the company.

Knowingly providing incorrect information is considered as professional misconduct. To prevent such misconduct, a chartered accountant should not provide incorrect projected cash flows to the bank and colour the financial position of the entity. By adhering to the ethical principles, the chartered accountant will maintain his professional integrity and contribute to the trust and reliability placed in the work expected from him.

However, if he submits the incorrect projected statement of cash flows, he would be subject to professional misconduct under Clause 1 of Part II of Second Schedule of the Chartered Accountants Act, 1949. The Clause 1 states that a member of the Institute, whether in practice or not, shall be deemed to be guilty of professional misconduct, if he contravenes any of the provisions of this Act or the regulations made thereunder or any guidelines issued by the Council. As per the Guidelines issued by the Council, a member of the Institute who is an employee shall exercise due diligence and shall not be grossly negligent in the conduct of his duties.

Q4: Sunshine Ltd., a listed company in the cosmetics industry, has debt covenants attached to some of its borrowings which are included in Financial Liabilities in the Balance Sheet. These covenants mandate the company to repay the debt in full if Sunshine Ltd. fails to maintain a liquidity ratio and operating margin above the specified limit.

The directors alongwith the CFO of the Company who is a chartered accountant are considering entering into a fresh five-year leasing arrangement but are concerned about the negative impact any potential lease obligations may have on the above-mentioned covenants. Accordingly, the directors and CFO propose that the lease agreement be drafted in such a way that it is a series of six ten-month leases rather than a single five-year lease in order to utilize the short-term lease exemption available under Ind AS 116, Leases. This would then enable accounting for the leases in their legal form. The directors believe that this treatment will meet the requirements of the debt covenant, though such treatment may be contrary to the accounting standards.

Required:

Discuss the ethical and accounting implications of the above issue from the perspective of CFO.

[MTP May 2024; May 2025]

Ans: Lease agreement substance presentation

Stakeholders make informed and accurate decisions based on the information presented in the financial statements and as such, ensuring the financial statements are reliable and of utmost importance. The directors of Sunshine Ltd. are ethically responsible to produce financial statements that comply with Ind AS and are transparent and free from material error. Lenders often attach covenants to the terms of the agreement in order to protect their interests in an entity. They would also be of crucial importance to potential debt and equity investors when assessing the risks and returns from any future investment in the entity.

The proposed action by Sunshine Ltd. appears to be a deliberate attempt to circumvent the terms of the covenants. The legal form would require treatment as a series of short-term leases which would be recorded in the profit or loss, without any right-of-use asset and lease liability being recognized as required by Ind AS 116, Leases. This would be a form of 'off-balance sheet finance' and would not report the true assets and obligations of Sunshine Ltd. As a result of this proposed action, the liquidity ratios would be adversely misrepresented. Further, the operating profit margins would also be adversely affected, as the expenses associated with the lease are likely to be higher than the depreciation charge if a leased asset was recognized, hence the proposal may actually be detrimental to the operating profit covenant.

Sunshine Ltd. is aware that the proposed treatment may be contrary to Ind AS. Such manipulation would be a clear breach of the fundamental principles of objectivity and integrity as outlined in the Code of Ethics. It is important for a chartered accountants to exercise professional behaviour and due care all the time. The proposals by Sunshine Ltd. are likely to mislead the stakeholders in the entity. This could discredit the profession by creating a lack of

confidence within the profession. The directors of Sunshine Ltd. must be reminded of their ethical responsibilities and persuaded that the accounting treatment must fully comply with the Ind AS and principles outlined within the framework should they proceed with the financing agreement.

However, if the CFO fails to comply with his professional duties, he will be subject to professional misconduct under Clause 1 of Part II of Second Schedule of the Chartered Accountants Act, 1949. The Clause 1 states that a member of the Institute, whether in practice or not, shall be deemed to be guilty of professional misconduct, if he contravenes any of the provisions of this Act or the regulations made thereunder or any guidelines issued by the Council. As per the Guidelines issued by the Council, a member of the Institute who is an employee shall exercise due diligence and shall not be grossly negligent in the conduct of his duties.

Q5: Agastya Ltd. is a listed company engaged in the manufacturing of automotive spare parts. The company is preparing the financial statements for the year ended 31 March 20X3. The directors of Agastya Ltd. are entitled to an incentive based on the operating profit margin of the company. You have been appointed as a consultant to advise on the preparation of the financial statements, and you notice the following issue:

Issue:

On 1 April 20X2, Agastya Ltd.'s defined benefit pension scheme was amended to increase the pension entitlement from 12% of final salary to 18.5% of final salary. This amendment was made due to the salary cuts made on account of the pandemic. The chartered accountant has shown such increase in the pension entitlement (amounting to ₹ 85 crores) under the head 'Employee Benefits' forming part of the operating profit. The directors are unhappy with this presentation. They believe that the pension scheme is not integral to the operations of the company since it is paid post-retirement of the employees, and thus insist that such presentation would be misleading in computing the operating profit or loss. Accordingly, the directors propose a change in accounting policy so that all such gains or losses on pension scheme would be recognized under Other Comprehensive Income. The directors believe that this policy choice will make the financial statements more consistent, understandable thereby justifying the same on grounds of fair presentation as defined in the Framework. The pension scheme of Agastya Ltd. is currently in deficit.

Required:

Discuss the ethical and accounting implications of the above issues, referring to the relevant Ind AS wherever appropriate from the perspective of the consultant.

Ans: Ethical Implications of change in accounting policy

Ind AS 8, Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors only permits a change in accounting policy if the change is: (i) required by an Ind AS or (ii) results in the financial statements providing reliable and more relevant information about the effects of transactions, other events or conditions on the entity's financial position, financial

performance or cash flows. A retrospective adjustment is required unless the change arises from a new accounting policy with transitional arrangements to account for the change. It is permissible to depart from the requirements of Ind AS but only in extremely rare circumstances where compliance would be so misleading that it would conflict with overall objectives of the financial statements. Practically, this override is rarely, if ever, invoked.

Ind AS 19, Employee Benefits requires all gains and losses on a defined benefit pension scheme to be recognised in profit or loss except for the remeasurement component relating to plan assets and defined benefit obligations, which must be recognized in Other Comprehensive Income. Accordingly, current service cost, past service cost and net interest cost on the net defined benefit obligation must all be recognized in profit or loss. Ind AS 19 does not offer any alternative treatment as an accounting policy choice in terms of Ind AS 8, and therefore the directors' proposals cannot be justified on the grounds of fair presentation. The directors are ethically bound to prepare financial statements which reflect a true and fair view of the entity's performance and financial position and comply with all Ind AS.

It is the self-interest in the pension scheme that is making the directors consider a change in accounting policy in order to maximize profits for maximizing their bonus potential. The amendment to the pension scheme is a past service cost in terms of Ind AS 19 which should be expensed to the profit or loss during the period such plan amendment has occurred, i.e., immediately. This would impact the operating profits of Agastya Ltd. thereby reducing the potential bonus.

Additionally, it appears that the directors wish to manipulate aspects of the pension scheme such as current service cost and, since the pension scheme is given to be in deficit, the net finance cost. The directors are purposely manipulating the presentation of these items by recording them in equity instead of Profit or Loss. The financial statements would not be compliant with Ind AS and would not give a reliable picture of the true costs to the company of operating the pension scheme and this treatment would make the financial statements less comparable with other entities correctly applying Ind AS 19. Further, the explicit statement given in the financial statements stating that all compliance with Ind AS is achieved would be an incorrect statement to make in the event of the above non-compliance. Further, such treatment would be against the fundamental principles of objectivity, integrity and professional behaviour as stated in the Code of Ethics. The directors need to understand their ethical responsibilities and avoid implementing the proposed change in policy.

As a meaningful addition, the directors could use other tools/indicators within the financial statements to explain the company's results such as drawing attention of the users to the cash generated from operations which would exclude the non-cash pension expense. Alternative measures such as EBITDA could be disclosed where non-cash items are consistently eliminated for comparison purposes.

When a Chartered Accountant discovers that a company's financial position has been compromised through misstatement, they have two options. They can either report the non-compliance to the authorities or consider withdrawing from the engagement. Both the actions ensure integrity, transparency, and the interests of stakeholders at large.

In case the consultant-chartered accountant is influenced by the director's suggestions and report accordingly, he will be subject to professional misconduct under Clauses 5,7 and 8 of Part I of Second Schedule of the Chartered Accountants Act, 1949.

Clause 5 states that a Chartered Accountant is guilty of professional misconduct when he fails to disclose a material fact known to him which is not disclosed in a financial statement, but disclosure of which is necessary in making such financial statement where he is concerned with that financial statement in a professional capacity.

Clause 7 states that a Chartered Accountant is guilty of professional misconduct when he does not exercise due diligence or is grossly negligent in the conduct of his professional duties.

Clause 8 of Part I of the Second Schedule of the Chartered Accountants Act 1949 states that a CA is guilty of professional misconduct when he fails to obtain sufficient information which is necessary for expression of an opinion or its exceptions are sufficiently material to negate the expression of an opinion.

Q6: The directors of Spinz Ltd. are eligible for an incentive computed as a percentage of 'Cash Generated from Operations' as defined in Ind AS 7, Statement of Cash Flows in accordance with the terms of their appointment. Due to the onset of the pandemic, the company has not performed well, and it has, in fact, lost Cash from Operations. In order to meet the cash requirements, the directors of Spinz Ltd. are planning to dispose off under-utilized equipment and investments (not subsidiaries or associates). The directors opine that since the cash generated from sale of such equipment and investments would be used for operations, the inflows on such sale would be presented in the Statement of Cash Flows under 'Cash from Operations'. The directors are concerned about meeting the targets in order to ensure security of their jobs and feel that this treatment would enhance the 'cash flow picture' of the business. The inflows on sale of such equipment and investments have the potential to make the 'Cash from Operations' figure positive.

Required:

Discuss the ethical responsibility of Spinz Ltd.'s Chartered Accountant who is an employee to ensure that the manipulation of the Statement of Cash Flows, as suggested by the directors, does not occur.

Ans: In order to meet targets, it is quite possible that management may want to present a company's results in a favourable manner. Such an objective could be achieved by employing creative accounting techniques such as window dressing, or as can be seen in the case, inaccurate classification.

As per para 16 of Ind AS 7, separate disclosure of cash flows arising from investing activities is important because the cash flows represent the extent to which expenditures have been made for resources intended to generate future income and cash flows. Only expenditures that result in a recognized asset in the balance sheet are eligible for classification as investing activities. Example of cash flows arising from investing activities are cash receipts from sales of property, plant and equipment, intangibles and other long-term assets.

Presenting proceeds of sale of investments and under-utilized equipment as part of 'Cash from Operations' gives a misleading picture of the financial statements. Operating cash flows are crucial for the long-term survival of the company, and a negative cash from operations figure could be a possible indicator of cash shortage in the short-term, and possibly question the going concern assumption of the entity in the long-run. Further, operating cash flows are recurring, whereas investing and financing cash flows tend to be one-off.

In the given case, it may appear that to meet cash requirements for its operations, the company is selling its investments and equipment. Selling of equipment and investments is not usually a part of trading operations. Such sales generate short-term cash flow and cannot be repeated on a regular basis. The proposed misclassification could be regarded as a deliberate attempt to mislead stakeholders about the performance of Spinz Ltd. and its future performance, which is unethical.

Chartered Accountants have a duty, not only to the company they work for, but also to their professional body (i.e., ICAI), and to the stakeholders of the company. Proceeds received from sale of equipment and investment should be presented under 'Cash Flows from Investing Activities' (instead of 'Operating Activities') in accordance with Ind AS 7, Statement of Cash Flows. As per the Code of Ethics, a Chartered Accountant should follow the fundamental principle of professional competence and due care which includes preparing financial statements in compliance with Ind AS. In case the accountant permits the treatment of the matter as proposed by the management, it would result in a breach of the principle of professional competence and due care. This treatment may be permitted by the accountant under pressure from the management.

The chartered accountant should prevent the management not to proceed with the aforesaid accounting treatment which violates Ind AS 7. In case the management insists on continuing with their suggested treatment, then the chartered accountant must bring this to the attention of the auditors. Otherwise, the chartered accountant would be subject to professional misconduct under Clause 1 of Part II of Second Schedule of the Chartered Accountants Act, 1949. The Clause 1 states that a member of the Institute, whether in practice or not, shall be deemed to be guilty of professional misconduct, if he contravenes any of the provisions of this Act or the regulations made thereunder or any guidelines issued by the Council. As per the Guidelines issued by the Council, a member of the Institute who is an

employee shall exercise due diligence and shall not be grossly negligent in the conduct of his duties.

Q7: Infostar Ltd. is a listed company engaged in the provision of IT services in India. The directors are paid a bonus based on the profits achieved by the company during the year as per the bonus table given below:

Profit Range	Bonus to Directors
NIL < Profit < ₹ 1 crore	NIL
₹ 1 crore < Profit < ₹ 5 crores	2% of Net Profit
₹ 5 crores < Profit < ₹ 10 crores	4% of Net Profit
₹ 10 crores < Profit < ₹ 20 crores	6% of Net Profit
₹ 20 crores < Profit < ₹ 30 crores	8% of Net Profit
Profit > ₹ 30 crores	10% of Net Profit

The draft Statement of Profit and Loss for the year ended 31 March 20X2 currently shows a profit of ₹ 2 crores.

Issue:

The employees of Infostar Ltd. have historically been paid an individual-performance-based discretionary incentive for the last 15 years. Based on the past trends and performance, the bonus amount for the year 20X1-20X2 would be ₹ 3 crores. In view of the possibility of the directors not receiving the bonus on account of the company's poor performance, Infostar Ltd.'s Chief Financial Officer (CFO), who is a chartered accountant, has suggested that the discretionary incentive usually payable to the employees could be avoided in the current year, which would result in the company reporting profits. As a part of its annual report, Infostar Ltd. reports employee satisfaction scores, staff attrition rates, gender equality and employee absenteeism rates as non-financial performance measures. The CFO has also told the directors over mail that no stakeholder reads the non-financial information anyway, and thus his aforesaid suggestion of not paying the discretionary incentive would not impact the company greatly.

Required:

Discuss the ethical and accounting implications of the above issues, referring to the relevant Ind AS wherever appropriate from perspective of CA. Sushil Bhupathy. **[MTP Nov 2024]**

Ans: Ethical Considerations

Long-term success of any organization strongly depends on the fair treatment of employees, which in turn is based on the ethical behaviour of the management as well as how the same is perceived by the stakeholders. In the given case, the CFO has suggested not paying the discretionary bonus, which the directors are considering as it will enable the company to record profits of ₹ 2 crores, thereby ensuring a bonus pay out to the directors. This suggestion is not illegal at all as the bonus is discretionary rather than statutory/contractual. In other words, the company has no legal obligation to pay the bonus to the employees. However, the

reason behind non-payment of the bonus is what gives rise to ethical considerations. The suggestion by the CFO will have the aforesaid impact of reducing expenses and improving profits.

On a moral ground, the suggestion is likely to have negative consequences for the company. The employees would be dissatisfied that the bonus has been withdrawn, and further, when they would see the directors withdrawing bonuses out of the profits arising on a saving in bonus costs, it would have a negative impact on employee morale, which would result in low employee satisfaction scores and poor retention rates, which are reported as non-financial information in the financial statements. Companies are also under increasing pressure to reduce the wage gap between the management and its employees. By not paying a bonus, this metric will be adversely affected.

The CFO's statement that the above action will not negatively impact the company as the non-financial reporting indicators are not widely read by the users is misleading. The non-financial information is becoming increasingly important to the users of financial statements as they care about companies' treatment of their employees and view it as being important in the long-term success of the company.

A chartered accountant has a responsibility to exercise due diligence and clearly consider both financial and non-financial information while discharging his professional duty. It would be unethical for a chartered accountant to guide the management on matters which may result into any kind of disadvantage (it includes even non-financial matters) to the stakeholders.

Further, a distinguishing mark of the accountancy profession is its acceptance of the responsibility to act in the public interest. A chartered accountant's responsibility is not exclusively to satisfy the needs of an individual client or employing organization. Therefore, the Code contains requirements and application material to enable chartered accountants to meet their responsibility to act in the public interest. (Refer Section 100.1 A1, Code of Ethics issued by ICAI)

Hence, it is essential for a chartered accountant to uphold the professional standards and act in accordance with the ethical principles by ensuring transparency and accuracy in financial reporting.

Q8: Agastya Ltd. is a listed company engaged in the manufacturing of automotive spare parts. The company is preparing the financial statements for the year ended 31 March 20X3. The directors of Agastya Ltd. are entitled to an incentive based on the operating profit margin of the company. You have been appointed as a consultant to advise on the preparation of the financial statements, and you notice the following issue:

Issue:

The draft financial statements include an amount of ₹ 75 lakhs given as loan to a director. The loan has no specific repayment terms; the same is repayable on demand. The directors have

included such loan under the heading 'Cash and Cash Equivalents'. They have reasoned that since such loan, which is advanced to one of the directors, is repayable on demand, it is readily convertible to cash. Further the directors opine that such presentation should not be a problem even under the Ind AS Framework as financial statements are essentially prepared in accordance with accounting policies which is the choice of the company, and in this case, Agastya Ltd. has made a policy choice to show such loan as a cash equivalent.

Required:

Discuss the ethical and accounting implications of the above issues, referring to the relevant Ind AS wherever appropriate.

Ans: The directors have included a loan made to a director as a part of Cash and Cash Equivalents. It appears that the directors have misunderstood the definition of Cash and Cash Equivalents, believing the loan to be a cash equivalent. As per Ind AS 7, Statement of Cash Flows, cash equivalents are short-term, highly liquid investments readily convertible to known amounts of cash and which are subject to insignificant risk of changes in value. However, the loan given to the directors is not in place to enable Agastya Ltd. to manage its short-term cash commitments, it has no fixed repayment date and the likelihood of the director defaulting is also not known. Thus, the classification as a cash equivalent is inappropriate.

Instead, the loan should be regarded as a financial asset under Ind AS 109, Financial Instruments. Further information would be required, for example is ₹ 75 lakhs fair value? It could be said that the loan will never be repaid, and accordingly could be regarded as a component of directors' remuneration, and if so, the same should be expensed and disclosed accordingly. Further, since the director is likely to fall into the category of key management personnel, related party disclosures under Ind AS 24, Related Party Disclosures are likely to be necessary.

The treatment of loan as a cash equivalent breached two fundamental qualitative characteristics prescribed in the Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting, namely:

- (a) **Relevance:** The information should be disclosed separately as it is relevant to users.
- (b) **Faithful representation:** Information must be complete, neutral and free from error. Clearly, this will not be the case if loan to a director is shown as Cash Equivalents.

The said treatment is also violative of the Conceptual Framework's key enhancing qualitative characteristics:

- (a) **Understandability:** if the loan is shown as Cash Equivalents, it masks the true nature of company's practices, thereby reducing the understandability of the financial statements to the users.
- (b) **Verifiability:** Verifiability ensures that different knowledgeable and independent observers can reach consensus that a particular depiction of a transaction / account balance is a faithful representation. Verifiability gives assurance to the users that the

information faithfully represents the economic phenomena it intends to represent. The treatment given by the directors of Agastya Ltd. does not meet this benchmark as it reflects the subjective bias of the directors.

- (c) **Comparability:** For financial statements to be comparable year-on-year and with other companies, transactions must be correctly classified and presented, which is not happening here. If the cash balance of one year includes a loan to a director and the next year it does not, then you are not comparing like with like.

There is a potential conflict of interest between that of the director and that of the company, which mandates a separate disclosure as a minimum. Further, issues with compliance of section 185 of the Companies Act, 2013 would arise, which is why probably the directors want to hide such loan balance under cash equivalents. Directors are responsible for the financial statements required by statute, and thus it is their responsibility to put right any errors that result in the financial statements not complying with Ind AS. The directors are also legally bound to maintain proper accounting records and recording a loan as cash equivalent clashes with this requirement.

By masking the nature of the transaction, it is possible that the directors are motivated by personal interest and are thus failing in their duty to act honestly and ethically. If one transaction is misleading, it casts doubt on the credibility of the financial statements as a whole.

As a consultant, it becomes his responsibility to get the financial statements rectified and guide the directors about the principles enunciated in Ind AS and the correct treatment in accordance with the standards. Otherwise, he will be subject to professional misconduct under Clause 6 and 7 of Part I of Second Schedule of the Chartered Accountants Act, 1949.

Clause 6 of Part I of the Second Schedule of the Chartered Accountants Act 1949 states that a CA is guilty of professional misconduct when he fails to report a material misstatement known to him to appear in a financial statement with which he is concerned in a professional capacity.

The Clause 7, states that a Chartered Accountant is guilty of professional misconduct when he does not exercise due diligence or is grossly negligent in the conduct of his professional duties.

Chp Niv 26

Q9: As at 31 March 20X4, Mitra Ltd. had a plan to dispose off its 75% subsidiary Dosti Ltd. This plan had been approved by the board and was reported in the media as well as to the Stock Exchange where Mitra Ltd. was listed. It is expected that Jaya Ltd., the non-controlling shareholder in Dosti Ltd. holding 25% stake, will acquire the 75% equity interest as well. The sale is expected to be completed by October 20X4. Dosti Ltd. is expected to have substantial trading losses in the period up to the sale. Mr. X, a chartered accountant, who is an employee in the finance department of Mitra Ltd., wishes to show Dosti Ltd. as held for sale in the financial statements and to create a restructuring provision to include the expected costs of disposal and future trading losses. However, the Chief Operating Officer (COO) does not wish Dosti Ltd. to be categorized as held for sale nor to provide for the expected losses. The COO is concerned as to how this may affect

the sales and would surely result in bonus targets not being met. He has argued that as the management, it is his duty to secure a high sales price to maximize the return for shareholders of Mitra Ltd. He has also hinted that Mr. X's job could be at stake if such a provision were to be made in the financial statements. The expected costs from the sale are as follows:

Future Trading Losses:	₹ 20 crores
Various legal costs of sale	₹ 1.5 crores
Redundancy costs for Dosti Ltd.'s employees	₹ 4 crores
Impairment losses on Property, Plant and Equipment	₹ 7 crores

Required:

- Discuss the accounting treatment which Mitra Ltd. should adopt to address the issue above for the financial statements.
- Discuss the ethical issues which may arise in the above scenario, including any actions which Mitra Ltd. and Mr. X should take

[MTP May 2024]

Ans:

- In terms of Ind AS 105, Non-current Assets Held for Sale and Discontinued Operations, an entity shall classify a non-current asset (or disposal group) as held for sale if its carrying amount will be recovered principally through a sale transaction rather than through continuing use.

For this to be the case, the asset (or disposal group) must be available for immediate sale in its present condition subject only to terms that are usual and customary for sales of such assets (or disposal groups) and its sale must be highly probable.

For the sale to be highly probable, the appropriate level of management must be committed to a plan to sell the asset (or disposal group), and an active programme to locate a buyer and complete the plan must have been initiated. Further, the asset (or disposal group) must be actively marketed for sale at a price that is reasonable in relation to its current fair value. In addition, the sale should be expected to qualify for recognition as a completed sale within one year from the date of classification, except in specific cases as permitted by the Standard, and actions required to complete the plan should indicate that it is unlikely that significant changes to the plan will be made or that the plan will be withdrawn. The probability of required approvals (as per the jurisdiction) should be considered as part of the assessment of whether the sale is highly probable.

An entity that is committed to a sale plan involving loss of control of a subsidiary shall classify all the assets and liabilities of that subsidiary as held for sale when the criteria set out above are met, regardless of whether the entity will retain a non-controlling interest in its former subsidiary after the sale.

Based on the provisions highlighted above, the disposal of Dosti Ltd. appears to meet the criteria of held for sale. Jaya Ltd. is the probable acquirer, and the sale is highly probable,

expected to be completed seven months after the year end, well within the 12-months criteria highlighted above. Accordingly, Dosti Ltd. should be treated as a disposal group, since a single equity transaction is the most likely form of disposal. In case Dosti Ltd. is deemed to be a separate major component of business or geographical area of the group, the losses of the group should be presented separately as a discontinued operation within the Financial Statements of Mitra Ltd.

In terms of Ind AS 105, Non-current Assets Held for Sale and Discontinued Operations, an entity shall measure a non-current asset (or disposal group) classified as held for sale at the lower of its carrying amount and fair value less costs to sell. The carrying amount of Dosti Ltd. (i.e., the subsidiary of Mitra Ltd.) comprises of the net assets and goodwill less the non-controlling interest. The impairment loss recognised to reduce Dosti Ltd. to fair value less costs to sell should be allocated first to goodwill and then on a pro-rata basis across the other non-current assets of the Company.

The Chief Operating Officer (COO) is incorrect to exclude any form of restructuring provision in the Financial Statements. Since the disposal is communicated to the media as well as the Stock Exchange, a constructive obligation exists. However, ongoing costs of business should not be provided for, only directly attributable costs of restructuring should be provided. Future operating losses should be excluded as no obligating event has arisen, and no provision is required for impairment losses of Property, Plant and Equipment as it is already considered in the remeasurement to fair value less costs to sell. Thus, a provision is required for ₹ 5.5 crores (₹ 1.5 crores + ₹ 4 crores).

b) **Ethics**

Accountants have a duty to ensure that the financial statements are fair, transparent and comply with the accounting standards. Mr. X have committed several mistakes. In particular, he was unaware of which costs should be included within a restructuring provision and has failed to recognise that there is no obligating event in relation to future operating losses. A chartered accountant is expected to carry his work with due care and attention for lending credibility to the financial statements. Accordingly, he must update his knowledge and ensure that work is carried out in accordance with relevant ethical and professional standards. Failure to do so would be a breach of professional competence. Accordingly, Mr. X must ensure that this issue is addressed, for example by attending regular training and professional development courses.

It appears that the chief operating officer is looking for means to manipulate the financial statements for meeting the bonus targets. Neither is he is willing to reduce the profits of the group by applying held for sale criteria in respect of Dosti Ltd. nor is he willing to create appropriate restructuring provisions. Both the adjustment which comply with the requirements of Ind AS will result in reduction of profits. His argument that the management

has a duty to maximize the returns for the shareholders is true, but such maximization must not be achieved at the cost of objective and faithful representation of the performance of the Company. In the given case, it appears that the chief operating officer is motivated by bonus targets under the garb of maximizing returns for the shareholders, thereby resulting in misrepresentation of the results of the group.

Further, by threatening to dismiss Mr. X, the COO has acted unethically. Threatening and intimidating behaviour is unacceptable and against all ethical principles. This has given rise to an ethical dilemma for Mr. X. He has a duty to produce financial statements but doing so in a fair manner could result in a loss of job for him. The chartered accountant should approach the chief operating officer and remind him the basic ethical principles and communicate him to do the necessary adjustments in the accounts so that they are fair and objective.

In case Mr. X, falls under undue influence of COO and applies the incorrect accounting treatment, he will be subject to professional misconduct under Clause 1 of Part II of Second Schedule of the Chartered Accountants Act, 1949. The Clause 1 states that a member of the Institute, whether in practice or not, shall be deemed to be guilty of professional misconduct, for contravening the provisions of this Act or the regulations made thereunder or any guidelines issued by the Council. As per the Guidelines issued by the Council, a member of the Institute who is an employee shall exercise due diligence and shall not be grossly negligent in the conduct of his duties.

Q10: Shastra Ltd. desires to upgrade its production process since the directors believe that technology-led production is the only way the company can remain competitive. On 1 April 20X5, the company entered into a property lease arrangement in order to obtain tax benefits. However, the draft financial statements do not show a lease asset or a lease liability as on date.

A new financial controller, CA. Sunil Raghavan, joined Shastra Ltd. before the financial year ending 31 March 20X6 and was engaged in the review of financial statements to prepare for the upcoming audit and to begin making a loan application to finance the new technology. CA. Sunil Raghavan believes that the lease arrangement should be recognized in the Balance Sheet. However, the Managing Director, Ms. Anusha Shrivastava, an MBA (Finance), strongly disagrees. She wishes to charge the lease rentals to the Statement of Profit or Loss. Her opinion is based on the understanding that the lease arrangement is merely a monthly rental payment, without any corresponding asset or obligation, since there is no 'invoice' for transfer of asset to Shastra Ltd. Her disagreement also stems from the fact that showing a lease obligation in the Financial Statements would impact the gearing ratio of the company, which could have an adverse impact on the upcoming loan application. Ms. Anusha has made it clear to CA. Sunil Raghavan that at stake is not only the loan application but also his future prospects at Shastra Ltd.

Required:

Discuss the potential ethical conflicts which may arise in the above scenario and the ethical principles which would guide how the financial controller should respond to the situation.

Ans: As per Ind AS 116, Leases, at the inception of a contract, an entity shall assess whether the contract is, or contains, a lease. A contract is, or contains, a lease if the contract conveys the right to control the use of an identified asset for a period of time in exchange for consideration.

In accordance with the above definition, Shastra Ltd. must recognise a right-of-use asset representing the property and a corresponding lease liability for the obligation to make lease payments. At the commencement date, the right-of-use asset so recognised would include:

- The amount of the initial measurement of lease liability;
- Any initial direct costs;
- Any costs to be incurred for dismantling or removing the underlying asset or restoring the site at the end of the lease term.

The liability for the lease obligation would be measured as the present value of future lease payments including payments that would be made towards any residual value guarantee, discounted using the rate implicit in the lease or the incremental rate of borrowing of the lessor, whichever is available.

The fact that there is no 'invoice' evidencing transfer of the asset cannot be a reason to avoid recognition of the right-of-use asset. In fact, what is being recognised is not an asset, since ownership rights are not transferred. What is sought to be recognised under Ind AS 116 is the right to use the asset in the manner required by the lessee Shastra Ltd. Further, since the lease represents an obligation to pay lease rentals in the future, a corresponding lease liability should be recognised. Not recognising the right-of-use asset or lease liability would not only be a violation of Ind AS 116, Leases, but would also be an incorrect presentation of the financial position, which is critical given that Shastra Ltd. is interested in taking a loan for its operations.

Ethical issues:

The managing director's threat to the financial controller results in an ethical dilemma for the financial controller. This pressure is greater because the financial controller is new.

Threats to fundamental principles

The fact that the position of the financial controller has been threatened if the treatment suggested by the managing director is not followed indicates that there is an intimidation threat to the fundamental principles of objectivity and integrity.

Further, as the managing director has flagged the risk that the company may not obtain loan financing if the lease obligation is recorded in the balance sheet, there is an advocacy threat because the financial controller may be compelled to follow an incorrect treatment to maximise the chances of obtaining the loan. This pressure again is greater because the financial controller is new.

Professional competence

When preparing the financial statements, the financial controller should ensure that the fundamental principle of professional competence should be followed, which requires that accounts should be prepared in compliance with Ind AS.

Thus, since the arrangement meets the Ind AS 116 criteria for a lease, the right-of-use asset and a corresponding lease liability should be recognised, as otherwise the liabilities of Shastra Ltd. would be understated. The ICAI Code of Ethics and Conduct sets boundaries beyond which accountants should not act. If the managing director refuses application of Ind AS 116, Leases, the financial controller should disclose this to the appropriate internal governance authority, and thus feel confident that his actions were ethical.

If the financial controller were to bend under pressure and accept the managing director's proposed treatment, this would contravene Ind AS 116 and breach the fundamental principle of professional competence. In such a case, he would be subject to professional misconduct under Clause 1 of Part II of Second Schedule of the Chartered Accountants Act, 1949, which states that a member of the Institute, whether in practice or not, shall be deemed to be guilty of professional misconduct, if he contravenes any of the provisions of this Act or the regulations made thereunder or any guidelines issued by the Council. As per the Guidelines issued by the Council, a member of the Institute who is an employee shall exercise due diligence and shall not be grossly negligent in the conduct of his duties.

QUESTIONS FROM RTP/MTP/EXAMS

Q11: Astra Ltd. is a listed entity which operates in the defence and fibre optics sector. It supplies fibre optic cables and racks in the domestic country. This activity is only a trading activity for Astra Ltd. as it procures goods from pre-approved suppliers, and after inspection, sells the goods to IT companies. The sale contract requires Astra Ltd. to deliver these goods to the IT companies' locations (i.e., delivery on site). Payment terms are 30 days after the invoice date to Astra Ltd.

Ms. Suparna Dasgupta, a chartered accountant, has recently joined Astra Ltd. as the Head of the Finance Department.

The Chief Operating Officer (also the executive director) of Astra Ltd. is Ms. Padmaja Srinivasan, a mechanical engineer with an MBA from Harvard University, who rose through the ranks through her excellent skills in project management, marketing, and customer management. Her remuneration includes a bonus computed as a percentage of turnover achieved during the year, and an additional incentive for achieving an EBITDA in excess of 15% of turnover.

Astra Ltd. has sold fibre optic cables amounting to ₹ 2 crores (invoice dated 31st March 20X2) to Ethernet Bullet Ltd., a company providing high-speed internet connectivity services through fibre optic cables as well as dedicated leased lines. The service unit of Ethernet Bullet Ltd. is located next to the factory of Astra Ltd. Though the goods were not moved to Ethernet Bullet Ltd.'s service unit, Astra Ltd. recognized the sale for the year, based on the contention that the service unit is adjacent, and hence the transfer can happen within few minutes.

The annual results are due for board approval, for the year ending 31st March, and require the sign-off of Ms. Suparna Dasgupta.

Ms. Suparna Dasgupta has been given a 40% increment on joining Astra Ltd., which enables her to comfortably pay off her housing loan mortgage every month. Additionally, she is also given perquisites in the form of business class travel, an exclusive chauffeur-driven car and stock options of the company. Accordingly, she has stated that she cannot afford to lose this job as the salary and perquisites are among the best in the country.

Ms. Padmaja Srinivasan has communicated to Ms. Suparna Dasgupta that many more benefits will accrue if she agrees to present the numbers without any modifications. She has also said that the company would not hesitate to replace Ms. Suparna Dasgupta should she disagree with the contentions above.

Required:

Discuss the potential conflicts which are arising in the above scenario and the ethical principles that would guide Ms. Suparna Dasgupta in responding to the situation.

[RTP May 24; MTP May 25; SEP 25]

Ans: Presentation of Revenue numbers:

Ind AS 115 'Revenue from Contracts with Customers' requires revenue to be recognized only on satisfaction of the performance obligations under the contract. It is crucial that the performance obligations be identified at the commencement of the contract, so that the trigger points for revenue recognition become identifiable.

Management would always have an incentive to present higher revenue numbers. In the given case, the fact that the COO is given an incentive for revenues and EBITDA indicates that revenue is a potential area for material misstatement, given the personal interest of the COO in the same.

The sale of fibre optic cable cannot be recognized on 31st March 20X2 as the goods are not yet transferred to the customer Ethernet Bullet Ltd.'s factory premises, which is one of the critical obligations of Astra Ltd. The contention of the COO that it takes merely a few minutes to shift the goods, and hence the sale can be recognized does not hold true. One can always cross-question as to why the movement of goods did not happen, if it was merely a few minutes job. It could be a possibility that the goods may not be packed, or there may still be some pending inspection of the goods before transferring the same etc. In view of this, the performance obligation under this contract has not been completed, and hence booking the revenue has resulted in an overstatement of revenue by ₹ 2 crores, and a consequent inflation of profits, assuming that Astra Ltd. is making profit on this sale transaction. Additionally, booking this sale has resulted in an understatement of inventory as at the reporting date of 31st March 20X2.

In view of the above, multiple conflicts of interest arise for Ms. Suparna Dasgupta:

a) Pressure to present favourable revenue figures and chartered accountant's personal circumstances

The chartered accountant is under pressure to present favourable numbers, notably in favour of the COO, thereby increasing the incentives to the COO, and in turn benefiting with the continued job prospects. Thus, the ethical and professional standards required of the accountant are at odds with the pressures of her personal circumstances.

b) Duty to stakeholders

The directors have a duty to act in the best interests of the company's stakeholders. While higher revenue numbers do indicate a good growth trajectory of the company, recognizing the revenue before fulfilling the performance obligations, or incorrectly booking grant income as revenue, results in misleading the stakeholders about the actual performance of the entity, thereby actually becoming detrimental to the stakeholders.

Ethical principles guiding the chartered accountant's response

By exhibiting bias in reporting higher revenue figures due to the risk of losing the job, objectivity stands compromised. Knowingly disclosing incorrect information compromises integrity, and erring in complying with Ind AS requirements, though continuing to report so in the financial statements, results in displaying absence of professional competence.

Appropriate action

In the given case, the chartered accountant faces an ethical dilemma, and must apply her moral and ethical judgment. As a professional, she is responsible for presenting the truth, and to avoid indulging in 'creative accounting practices' due to pressure.

The chartered accountant accordingly must put the interests of the company and professional ethics first and insist that the financial statements represent correct revenue numbers, in compliance with the relevant Ind AS. Being an advisor to the directors, she must prevent deliberate misrepresentation / fraudulent financial reporting, regardless of the personal consequences. The accountant should not allow any undue influence from the directors to override her professional judgment or integrity. This is in the long-term interests of the company,

Further, knowingly providing incorrect information is regarded as professional misconduct. To prevent such misconduct, the chartered accountant should not sign off on the financial statements containing incorrect financial information. By adhering to the ethical principles, the chartered accountant will maintain her professional integrity and contribute to the trust and reliability placed in the work expected from her.

However, if she signs the financial statements containing the inflated revenue numbers, Ms. Suparna Dasgupta would be guilty of professional misconduct under Clause I of Part II of

Second Schedule to the Chartered Accountants Act, 1949. The Clause states that a member of the Institute, whether in practice or not, shall be guilty of professional misconduct, if he contravenes any of the provisions of this Act or the regulations made thereunder, or any guidelines issued by the Council. As per the Council guidelines, a member of the Institute who is an employee shall exercise due diligence and shall not be grossly negligent in the conduct of his duties.

Q12: Ayush Ltd. is engaged in manufacturing of Solar Panels. Due to current changes in Government policies regarding solar energy, directors expect a huge demand for solar panels. Ayush Ltd.'s directors feel that their current production plant needs up-gradation to increase the production capacity to meet the up-coming huge demand. The Company needs a significant amount of capital to upgrade the production plant. The current lending policies of the banks require prospective borrowers to demonstrate strong projected cash flows. However, Ayush Ltd.'s current projected statement of cash flow does not satisfy the bank's criteria for lending. The directors of Ayush Ltd. have told the lending bank that the company is in an excellent financial position and cash flow projections will meet the bank criteria for lending.

Ayush Ltd.'s draft financial statements include an amount of ₹ 2 crore, which was given as loan to one of its directors. The said loan has no specific repayment terms, but the same loan is repayable on demand. Directors of Ayush Ltd. forced CA Suraj, an employee of the company, to submit the projected statements of cash flows after including director's loan of ₹ 2 crore under the head 'Cash and Cash Equivalent' instead of showing it as loan under financial asset, as the said loan is repayable on demand. By presenting director's loan in such a way, the cash flow projections will meet the bank's criteria of lending.

Required

- a) Discuss the ethical and accounting implications of the above presentation from the perspective of CA Suraj; and also,
- b) discuss the ethical principles which would guide how the Chartered Accountant should respond to the situation.

[MTP Jan 2026]

Ans: Ethical and accounting implications:

As per Ind AS 7 Statement of Cash Flows, cash equivalents are short-term, highly liquid instruments readily convertible to cash and subject to insignificant risk of change in value. Loan given to director has no fixed repayment schedule and likelihood of defaulting is not known. Thus, the classification as a 'cash and cash equivalent' is inappropriate. Instead, loan to director should be shown as a financial asset under Ind AS 109 Financial Instrument.

Due to the pressure from directors, CA Suraj knowingly disclosed incorrect information to the bank in projected cash flow statement, thereby compromising the fundamental principles of objectivity, integrity and professional competence.

- By reporting favourable cash flow projections to bank, objectivity is compromised.

- Integrity is also compromised as by not acting in a straightforward and honest manner, incorrect information is knowingly disclosed.
- The principle of competence requires CA Suraj to prepare the cash flow projection to the best of his professional judgment which would not be the case if the cash flow projection showed a more positive position than what is actually anticipated.

By submitting incorrect projected cash flow statement, CA Suraj would be subject to professional misconduct under clause -1 of Part II of Second schedule of the Chartered Accountants Act 1949.

The clause-1 states that a member of the institute whether in practice or not, shall be deemed to be guilty of professional misconduct, if he contravenes any of the provisions of this Act or regulations made thereunder or any guidelines issued by the council.

Guidance to appropriate action

- As a professional, CA Suraj is responsible for presenting the truth and not to indulge in creative accounting owing to force.
- CA Suraj should put the interest of the Company and professional ethics first and must insist that the projected cash flow statement to the bank should be an honest reflection of the company's current financial position. He must prevent deliberate misrepresentation to the said bank.
- CA Suraj should not allow any undue influence and force from the directors to override his professional judgement or integrity.
- By not providing incorrect projected cash flow statement and by adhering to ethical principles, CA Suraj will maintain his professional integrity and contribute to the trust and reliability placed in the work expected from him.
- As per the guidelines issued by the council of the Institute, a member of the institute who is an employee shall exercise due diligence and shall not be grossly negligent in conduct of his duties.

NOTES

A large rectangular area with rounded corners, outlined in dark blue. It contains 25 horizontal dotted lines for writing notes.